

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.          | FILING DATE        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/039,072               | 03/13/1998         | ATSUSHI SASAKI       | P/1878-109              | 9140            |
| 7590 04/08/2005          |                    |                      | EXAMINER                |                 |
| Steven I Weisburd        |                    |                      | GRIER, LAURA A          |                 |
| DICKSTEIN SH             | IAPIRO MORIN & OSH | INSKY LLP            |                         |                 |
| 1177 Avfenue of Americas |                    |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
| 41st Floor               |                    |                      | 2644                    |                 |
| New York, NY 10036-2714  |                    |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/08/2005 |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary  |  | 09/039,072   | SASAKI, ATSUSHI   |  |  |  |
|  |  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Laura A Grier  | 2644  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| A SH<br>THE<br>- Exte<br>after<br>- If the<br>- If NO<br>- Failu<br>Any  | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statureply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | . 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 2a)  | 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 December 2004</u> .  2a)□ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | on of Claims   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 5)□<br>6)⊠<br>7)⊠  | Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1,4,6,8 and 10</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>2-3, 5, 7, and 9</u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/   | awn from consideration.  |   |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | on Papers  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 10)□   | The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E  | cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a cepted or b) objected to by the lead in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection.           | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |  |
| Priority u   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |  |  |  |
| a)[  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureatee the attached detailed Office action for a list  | nts have been received.<br>Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received<br>Bu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | on No ed in this National Stage   |  |  |  |
| Attachment   |  | » 🗆  | (270 440)   |  |  |  |
| 2) D Notice<br>3) D Inform   | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 · No(s)/Mail Date  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da ) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:   |   |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/039,072 Page 2

Art Unit: 2644

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 4, 6, 8 and 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Op De Beek et al.

Regarding claims 1 and 10, Beek discloses loudspeakers (11), which reads on loudspeaker; a microphone (14) positioned in the listening environment (room) of the speaker to detect the speaker output and the distortions (reflections, which constitutes as echoes) within the listening environment, which reads on a microphone; a frequency analysis unit and equalizing unit (17/9) for correcting the frequency characteristic of the signal, which reads on a processor for generating a difference by comparing, in real time therein, with a direct output from the microphone with an output signal from a sound source (2); and an amplifier (5) – figures 1, 5 and 7, abstract, col. 8, lines 41-64, and col. 13, lines 24-38.

Regarding claims 4, 6 and 8, Beek discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). Beek further discloses an algorithm (Fourier transformation) for correct the acoustic output signal of the loudspeaker, which reads on the claimed limitations, therein.

Application/Control Number: 09/039,072

Art Unit: 2644

# Allowable Subject Matter

Page 3

3. Claims 2-3, 5, 7, and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 12/22/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant essentially argues that Beek fails to disclose a processor for comparing in real the output of the microphone with that of the sound source. Beek discloses a speaker receiving an audio input signal, wherein the audio signal is output by the speaker in a listening environment which is subject to acoustic distortions, and a microphone is provided the listening environment to pick up the signal output by the speaker, which includes the speaker's frequency response the acoustic distortions of the listening environment, and the microphone provides an feedback input signal to a frequency analysis unit, and as well an output from the sound source is also provided to the input of the frequency analysis unit, wherein a comparison takes place of the between the audio signal and microphone signal to provide a modified control signal respective the microphone and sound source output to an equalizing unit (in real time), for correcting the acoustic sound field of the loudspeaker. Beek also discloses reflections which are be characterized as reverberation characteristics. And thus the

Art Unit: 2644

claim language fails to specifically claim "echo suppression" as argued by the applicant.

Thus, the Beek Rejection is maintained.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura A Grier whose telephone number is (571) 272-7518. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh N Tran can be reached on (571) 272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

L'aura A. Grier April 4, 2005